

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION**

**In re: Status Report for Cases Transferred  
to the Docket of the Honorable Michael H. Watson  
for the following cases:**

<b>1:00-cv-637</b>	<b>USA v. Green</b>
<b>1:00-cv-766</b>	<b>Parker v. Aventis</b>
<b>1:00-cv-961</b>	<b>Ngo v. City of Cincinnati</b>
<b>1:01-cv-378</b>	<b>Brantley v. Cinergy Corp</b>
<b>1:01-cv-459</b>	<b>Sayyah v. Waynoka</b>
<b>1:01-cv-541</b>	<b>USA v. Houck</b>
<b>1:01-cv-599</b>	<b>Lentz v. Cincinnati Ins.</b>
<b>1:01-cv-639</b>	<b>Sanders v. Hill</b>
<b>1:01-cv-648</b>	<b>Noble Intl Ltd v. Bratt Enterprises</b>
<b>1:01-cv-747</b>	<b>Worldwide Equipment v. Miller Industries</b>
<b>1:01-cv-901</b>	<b>Szabo v. Robert Pierce &amp; Assoc</b>
<b>1:02-cv-50</b>	<b>Marx v. Janke</b>
<b>1:02-cv-164</b>	<b>Rivet v. Ford Motor Co</b>
<b>1:02-cv-179</b>	<b>Gallant v. Coolant Control</b>
<b>1:02-cv-240</b>	<b>Ohio Med Instrument v. Pro Med Instruments</b>
<b>1:02-cv-269</b>	<b>Contech Construction v. Zurich</b>
<b>1:02-cv-311</b>	<b>McGuire v. Barnhart</b>
<b>1:02-cv-326</b>	<b>USA v. AMS</b>
<b>1:02-cv-330</b>	<b>Tolliver v. Echman</b>
<b>1:02-cv-355</b>	<b>Anderson v. Avon</b>
<b>1:02-cv-357</b>	<b>O'Neal v. Bagley</b>
<b>1:02-cv-378</b>	<b>Samuel v. Hoog</b>
<b>1:02-cv-479</b>	<b>Jefferson-Pilot Life Ins. v. Kearney</b>
<b>1:02-cv-554</b>	<b>Miller v. Sprague</b>
<b>1:02-cv-557</b>	<b>O'Cull v. Deerfield</b>
<b>1:02-cv-663</b>	<b>Caudill v. Owen</b>
<b>1:02-cv-714</b>	<b>Paradise Farms v. Chiquita Frupac</b>
<b>1:02-cv-722</b>	<b>Fossyl v. Watson</b>
<b>1:02-cv-751</b>	<b>Tackett v. Song</b>
<b>1:02-cv-766</b>	<b>Broach v. Cincinnati</b>
<b>1:02-cv-836</b>	<b>Taylor v. Streicher</b>
<b>1:02-cv-837</b>	<b>Sosby v. Miller Brewing Co</b>
<b>1:02-cv-847</b>	<b>Ohio Natl Life Ins v. Orbitex</b>
<b>1:02-cv-850</b>	<b>Moore v. Erwin</b>
<b>1:02-cv-853</b>	<b>Mohammed v. Penske</b>
<b>1:02-cv-857</b>	<b>In re Broadwing, ERISA Litigation</b>
<b>1:02-cv-900</b>	<b>Celsis v. Incorp.</b>

1:02-cv-932	Howard v. Xerox Corp
1:03-cv-55	Buckhorn v. Rehrig Pacific
1:03-cv-80	Williams v. Mortgage Loan
1:03-cv-86	Cundiff v. Mueller
1:03-cv-91	Financial Fed Credit v. Vertex Commercial
1:03-cv-96	USA v. Graham
1:03-cv-116	Cupp v. Fluor Fernald
1:03-cv-117	Brennan v. Fluor Fernald
1:03-cv-118	Fitzgerald v. Fluor Fernald
1:03-cv-119	Gray v. City of Cincinnati
1:03-cv-165	Merzin v. Provident Financial Group
1:03-cv-166	Waldbaum v. Provident Financial Group
1:03-cv-169	Harmon v. Grizzel
1:03-cv-171	Todd v. Carter
1:03-cv-175	River Hills Anesthes v. Comprehensive Anesth
1:03-cv-177	McKay v. Provident Financial Group
1:03-cv-214	Nicci v. Hoverson
1:03-cv-222	Osterday v. Norwood
1:03-cv-229	Koot v. Provident Financial Group
1:03-cv-237	Kennedy v. Clermont
1:03-cv-240	Spitz v. Provident Financial Group
1:03-cv-250	Darnell v. McGinnis
1:03-cv-252	Hutchens v. Weltman & Reis
1:03-cv-268	Lee v. Fluor Fernald
1:03-cv-276	Skelton v. Sara Lee Corp
1:03-cv-290	Ira v. Provident Financial Group
1:03-cv-295	Rodgers v. Cincinnati Reds
1:03-cv-306	USA ex re Snam Inc v. Ford Motor Co
1:03-cv-315	Silverback Master Ltd. v. Provident Financial
1:03-cv-334	Steinhauer v. Comfort Systems
1:03-cv-360	Breech v. Scioto Cty Reg Water
1:03-cv-373	Kirkland v. Potter
1:03-cv-383	Strong v. U-Haul Co of Mass.
1:03-cv-384	Swegles v. U-Haul Co of Mass.
1:03-cv-403	Tribble v. Graham, et al.
1:03-cv-406	Armco Emp Ind Fed v. AK Steel Corp
1:03-cv-447	Hester v. Union Central Life
1:03-cv-452	Gamble v. Ohio Dept of Jobs
1:03-cv-471	Proffitt v. AK Steel Corp
1:03-cv-490	Jones v. Brigano
1:03-cv-495	Conley v. Bancorp
1:03-cv- 533	McMillin v. Faith Properties
1:03-cv-546	Multi-Color Corp v. American Fuji Seal
1:03-cv-553	Road Sprinkler Fite v. Casco Fire Protection
1:03-cv-554	Storm v. Sabre Group
1:03-cv-583	Hensley v. Mercy Franciscan

1:03-cv- 584	Keeper Co v. Chambers
1:03-cv-649	Worthington v. CDW Corp
1:03-cv-651	Crutchfield v. Ohio Conference
1:03-cv-662	EEOC v. Jeff Wyler Chevy
1:03-cv- 689	Donaldson v. Denny
1:03-cv- 703	Hawes v. University of Cincinnati
1:03-cv- 724	Quest Comm Corp v. Connect Link
1:03-cv- 731	City of Cincinnati v. USA
1:03-cv- 754	Message Factors v. McGinnis
1:03-cv-757	Torque Inc v. Torque Control Inc
1:03-cv- 787	Vaughn v. Hitachi
1:03-cv- 789	Johnson v. Rector Molded Products
1:03-cv- 793	UNICARE Life & Health Ins v. Myers
1:03-cv- 798	Colley v. Dialysis Clinic
1:03-cv- 817	Lumbermens Mutual v. Dayle Montgomery
1:03-cv- 843	Fidelity Underwriters v. Nationwide Tanks
1:03-cv- 852	Burnam v. Howard
1:03-cv- 856	Harbison v. Hartford Life & Accident
1:03-cv- 866	Lucy v. Heis
1:03-cv- 871	Brand v. USA
1:03-cv- 875	Becker v. Rapidigm
1:03-cv- 890	Steers v. UNUM Provident Corp
1:03-cv-898	Stanifer v. Smart Papers LLC
1:03-cv- 900	Leonardi v. Freeman
1:03-cv- 922	US Bank National Assoc v. Reik
1:03-cv- 923	Simms v. Miller Brewing
1:03-cv- 925	Info-Hold Inc v. Sound Merch
1:03-cv- 929	Davis v. Cincinnati
1:04-cv-3	Storopack v. Free Flow Packaging
1:04-cv-20	AEP v. Nester
1:04-cv-25	Cincom Systems Inc. v. Quantum Corporation
1:04-cv-34	Rachel v. Leis
1:04-cv-35	Barret v. Ohio
1:04-cv-37	Holler v. Hartford Ins
1:04-cv-65	Schell v. Janssen Pharmaceutical
1:04-cv-68	SST Materials v. Genchem GMBH
1:04-cv-80	Farmer v. Cincinnati
1:04-cv-81	Tucker v. Stayton
1:04-cv-84	Higgins v. Jeff Wyler Eastgate
1:04-cv-88	Halsell v. Minafee
1:04-cv-97	Collins v. ODRC
1:04-cv-100	McClure v. Ohio
1:04-cv-118	Snyder v. Scudder Private Investment
1:04-cv-145	Monfort Supply v. Board of Zoning Appeals
1:04-cv-148	Procter & Gamble Co. v. Blue Cross Labs
1:04-cv-157	Cochrun v. Freeson

1:04-cv-169	Dale v. Lake
1:04-cv-170	Brackett v. Lake
1:04-cv-173	KOST USA v. Old World Industries
1:04-cv-176	Lawson v. Cincinnati
1:04-cv-178	Pisces by OPW v. Advanced Polymer Tech
1:04-cv-179	Murphy v. Global Ground Support LLC
1:04-cv-190	Kennedy v. Anthem
1:04-cv-192	Cincinnati Bell v. Cincinnati
1:04-cv-227	Steel Service v. Count Comm Hamilton Co
1:04-cv-235	American Telemarketing v Heidel
1:04-cv-236	Simmons v. US Playing Card Co
1:04-cv-243	Bailey v. Hempen
1:04-cv-255	Directors Local Union 212 v. Arthur Electric Co
1:04-cv-256	Haley v. UNUM Life Ins
1:04-cv-257	Hoffman v. Werb
1:04-cv-262	November v. Baxter
1:04-cv-268	Free Flow Packaging v. Storopack Inc
1:04-cv-272	Dinvalds v. Postal Service
1:04-cv-279	Hendricks v. Dept HHS
1:04-cv-290	Dinvalds v. Postal Services
1:04-cv-295	Fiorini v. USA
1:04-cv-296	Jordon v. Thomas and Thomas
1:04-cv-305	USA v. Ewell
1:04-cv-308	USA v. Hicks
1:04-cv-309	Anderson v. VeriFone
1:04-cv-310	Trombley v. Kendle Intl
1:04-cv-323	Felson v. Discover Card
1:04-cv-339	Free Flow Packaging v. Ideepak
1:04-cv-347	Karlage v. Olvey
1:04-cv-364	Arvin v. Dept HHS
1:04-cv-373	Lake v. Leis
1:04-cv-396	Mesto Paper Karlstad AB v. Valco Cincinnati
1:04-cv-403	Williams v. Warden
1:04-cv-415	Guy v. Sears Roebuck
1:04-cv-416	Rohr Corp. v. Supreme Manufacturing
1:04-cv-420	Hendricks v. Home Depot
1:04-cv-433	McClure v. Fischer Homes
1:04-cv-442	Sayyah v. Waynoka Properties
1:04-cv-444	Eads v. Cinergy Corp
1:04-cv-458	Clarke v. Metro Life Ins
1:04-cv-461	Storey v. Dept HHS
1:04-cv-472	Miami Wabash v. Lebbling Engineering
1:04-cv-475	Schippel v. Reynolds and Reynolds
1:04-cv-479	Bradshaw v. Jeff Wyler Colerain
1:04-cv-484	Vanzant v. DiamlerChrysler
1:04-cv-498	Chieftain v. International Brotherhood

<b>1:04-cv-500</b>	<b>USA v. Eaton</b>
<b>1:04-cv-501</b>	<b>Hogan v. Frito Lay</b>
<b>1:04-cv-523</b>	<b>McCabe v. K Asher Trucking</b>
<b>1:04-cv-526</b>	<b>Whitley v. Arnett</b>
<b>1:04-cv-528</b>	<b>Staley v. Paycor</b>
<b>1:04-cv-529</b>	<b>Stanley-Gomez v. Weltman Weinberg Reis</b>
<b>1:04-cv-537</b>	<b>American Home Ins v. Massenheimer</b>
<b>1:04-cv-556</b>	<b>Leach v. CBS Technologies</b>
<b>1:04-cv-557</b>	<b>Ruggles v. Dept HHS</b>
<b>1:04-cv-576</b>	<b>Hines v. Milacron</b>
<b>1:04-cv-625</b>	<b>EEOC v. Tecmetal Group</b>
<b>1:04-cv-634</b>	<b>Murrell v. Warden</b>
<b>1:04-cv-653</b>	<b>Carter v. Weyand</b>
<b>1:04-cv-654</b>	<b>Stamm v. Dept HHS</b>
<b>1:04-cv-655</b>	<b>Bakhru v. Glaxo Smith Kline</b>
<b>1:04-cv-797</b>	<b>Corey v. Maxxim Medical</b>
<b>1:04-cv-835</b>	<b>Communique Production v. Lenscrafters</b>
<b>3:03-cv-127</b>	<b>Kinley v. Bradshaw</b>

### **ORDER**

The previous case scheduling order in the above cases, now transferred to the docket of Judge Michael H. Watson, shall remain in effect until further order of the Court. However, in cases with matters scheduled prior to November 1, 2004, the parties shall contact the Court for confirmation that a scheduled matter will proceed.

In order to edify the court of the status of their case, the parties shall submit a joint status report within seven (7) days of the filing date of this Order.

The status report shall be no longer than two (2) pages in length and set forth the following:

1. Nature of the case and brief description of claims asserted:
2. Efforts to settle, pre- and post-filing of Complaint;
3. Scheduled dates for:
  - a. Discovery Cut-off;
  - b. Dispositive Motions Deadline;

- c. Settlement Conference;
  - d. Final Pre-trial; and
  - e. Trial.
4. Any other case-related issues deemed relevant by counsel, jointly.

Parties who have previously consented to trial with a Magistrate Judge may disregard this Order.

s/Michael H. Watson  
Michael H. Watson  
United States District Judge